

Sharing Clinical Study Report Synopsis

This synopsis is part of the full Clinical Study Report (CSR), which is property of Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A.

Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A. makes the CSR Synopsis available, consistently with the need to protect the patient privacy, publication rights, and commercially confidential information, through appropriate redaction.

The CSR synopsis is supplied for information only, with the purpose of disclosing scientific data. Hence, it cannot be used, in parts or its entirety, for commercial purposes, nor distributed, published, reused or used for any other purpose without the express written permission of Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A.

Results reported in the CSR synopsis are related to a specific study and may not reflect the overall evidence obtained across the product development. Therefore, it is not aimed at providing an exhaustive analysis of all the data currently available on a particular drug. You can learn more about Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A. medicinal product consulting the approved product labelling, which may vary from country to country.

The CSR synopsis is not intended to promote any product or indication and is not intended to replace the advice of a health care professional.



2. SYNOPSIS

		1					
Name of Company:		Individual Study Table	(for National Authori	ity Use only)			
Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A.		Referring to Part					
Name of Finished Product:	NEXThaler®	of the Dossier Volume:					
Name of Active Ingredient:	NA	Page:					
Title of Study: An Open La In COPD Patients Using The	bel Placebo Stud NEXThaler [®] Dry	y To Assess The Inhalation 2 y Powder Inhaler (DPI) Devic	Profile Obtained By Acous ce	tic Monitoring			
Investigators: One Principal	Investigator in It	taly					
Study Centre(s):One investi	gational study sit	e in Italy					
Publication (reference): No	ne						
Studied Period: FPFV: 03 D	Phase of development:	Phase of development: IIa					
Objectives:							
Primary:							
The primary objective of this study was to assess the inspiration profile through the NEXThaler [®] device in COPD patients with varying degrees of airflow limitation as per GOLD 2013 (updated) spirometric classification of disease severity.							
Secondary:							
The secondary objective of the measured by spirometry at inspiratory manoeuvre.	he study was to e clinic and the	valuate the potential correlat variables measured by aco	ion between the lung funct ustic monitoring technolo	ion parameters gy during the			
Methodology (Study Design This was a phase IIa, single-o profile through the NEXThat 2013 (updated) spirometric of signature of the informed inclusion/exclusion criteria w The patient then subsequently	a): centre, open-label ler [®] device in CC classification of d consent form, th vere checked. If the y inhaled through	I, single-arm, investigational, DPD patients with varying de lisease severity. The study p he patient was instructed the patient was eligible, the the device and the inspiratio	placebo study, to evaluate egrees of airflow limitation lan included one visit at cl to the correct use of NE lung function parameters w n profile was measured.	the inspiration as per GOLD linic. After the XThaler [®] and vere evaluated.			
Number of patients (planne	d and analyzed)	:					
A minimum of 70 to a max distribution in terms of COPI	imum of 80 com D Stage as per GC	ppleted patients were planne DLD 2013 (updated) spirome	d to be recruited, ensuring tric classification of disease	the following e severity:			
 20 patients in each of the 	COPD COLD St	aga II to IV					
72 patients were actually enro	olled divided in th	he four GOLD stage groups a	as shown below:				
· - F	COLD stage I	COLD stage II COLD sta	ge III. GOI D stage IV	Total			
Enrolled	21	20 21	10	72			
Safety population	21	20 21 20 21	10	72			
Per-protocol population	19	20 21 20 20	10	69			
Completed	21	20 21	10	72			
Diagnosis and main criteria	for inclusion.		-				
1 Written informed consent	obtained from th	e patient and/or the legal rep	resentatives.				
2. Inpatients and outpatients of both sexes $aged > 40$ years							
2. Inputents and outputents	anosis of CODE	Sea _ 10 years,	ainfland limitation hand	·			

3. Documented clinical diagnosis of COPD with varying degrees of airflow limitation based on spirometric classification of disease severity according to GOLD 2013 (updated) guidelines with a smoking history of at least 10 pack years (pack-years = the number of cigarette packs smoked per day multiplied by the number of years). Current smokers and ex-smokers were eligible;



4. A cooperative attitude and ability to use DPIs and to be trained in the proper use of the NEXThaler[®] as confirmed by the activation of breath actuated mechanism (BAM) of the NEXThaler[®] training device.

Test product, dose and mode of administration, batch number:

Placebo NEXThaler[®] DPI (Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A.). At the study visit all patients received 2 inhalations. Batch numbers: refer to Appendix 16.1.6.

Duration of treatment: Single dose

Reference therapy, dose and mode of administration, batch number:

Criteria for evaluation:

Analysis variables:

- Variables measured by acoustic monitoring technology through the NEXThaler[®] during the inspiratory manoeuvre:
 - Flow and time to BAM firing;
 - Peak inspiratory flow (PIF) and time to PIF;
 - Initial acceleration (rate of change of flow at inhalation start);
 - Total inhaled volume and inhalation time;
 - Inspiratory flow rate by time.
- Pulmonary function by spirometry: FEV₁, FEV₁ percent of predicted normal value, FVC, FVC percent of predicted normal value, FEV₁/FVC ratio, PEF, PEF percent of predicted normal value and PIF.
- Device usability by means of a physician-assessed questionnaire.

Safety variables:

The safety variable of the study was:

• Adverse events (AEs).

Statistical methods

Analysis variables

The following populations were considered for data analysis: Safety population, which included all patients who received the study medication; Per-Protocol population (PP), which included all patients from the Safety population, excluding patients without any valid evaluation of inhalation profile or with major protocol deviations significantly affecting this assessment. Acoustic monitoring and spirometry variables, and device usability, were analysed on the PP population.

All the analyses were performed separately for the first and the second inhalation.

Acoustic monitoring variables (flow at and time to BAM firing, PIF and time to PIF, initial acceleration, total inhaled volume and inhalation time, inspiratory flow rate by time) and spirometry variables (FEV₁, FEV₁ % of predicted normal value, FVC, FVC % of predicted normal value, FEV₁/FVC ratio, PEF, PEF % of predicted normal value and PIF) were summarised using descriptive statistics and the 95% confidence interval (CI) of the mean, overall and by COPD GOLD stage.

Correlations between PIF from spirometry and PIF measured by acoustic monitoring technology, and between the variables measured by acoustic monitoring technology, were evaluated separately for the first and the second inhalation using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, presented with its 95% CI and p-value.

The number and percentage of patients with positive/negative answers to the usability evaluation questionnaire were presented overall and by COPD GOLD stage.

Safety variables

The number and percentage of patients experiencing AEs, adverse drug reactions (ADRs), serious AEs (SAEs) and AEs leading to study withdrawal were to be summarised by System Organ Class and Preferred Term.

Study population:

Seventy-two patients in total were enrolled in the study. Twenty-one patients were in COPD GOLD stage I, 20 in stage II, 21 in stage III and 10 in stage IV. All enrolled patients completed the study.

Extent of exposure and compliance:

<u>Extent of exposure</u>: each patient performed at least 2 inhalations of placebo using the NEXThaler[®] DPI device. <u>Compliance</u>: patients underwent the study procedures under supervision of the study personnel.

Summary – Conclusions:

Baseline spirometry measurements

The mean FEV₁ % predicted was 73.4 in GOLD stage I, 50.5 in GOLD stage II, 36.5 in GOLD stage III, and 22.4 in GOLD stage IV. The mean FEV₁/FVC ratio was 0.61 in GOLD stage I, 0.56 in GOLD stage II, 0.47 in GOLD stage III, and 0.36 in GOLD stage IV. The same trend (i.e. a decrease in mean values with increasing severity) was observed for the other spirometric parameters (FVC, PEF and PIF).

Results of acoustic monitoring

The profiles of mean inspiratory flow rate over time up to BAM firing were consistent across all GOLD stage groups. The curve profile in the first and in the second inhalation was similar in all subgroups of patients based on GOLD stage, except for patients in GOLD stage IV, in which mean values after BAM firing were higher in the first inhalation than in the second one.

The results of acoustic monitoring are presented in the table below.

The mean flow at BAM firing was similar in patients in all GOLD stages at both the first (mean values in the range 40.27 - 44.76 L/min) and the second (mean values in the range 40.79 - 43.59 L/min) inhalation.

At the first inhalation, the mean PIF was slightly higher in patients in GOLD stages I and II than in patients in GOLD stages III and IV (74.08 L/min in stage I, 69.13 L/min in stage II, 63.47 L/min in stage III, and 63.51 L/min in stage IV). At the second inhalation, the mean PIF was lower in patients in GOLD stage IV than in the other subgroups (71.87 L/min in stage I, 70.51 L/min in stage II, 66.43 L/min in stage III, and 55.28 L/min in stage IV).

No relevant differences between GOLD stages were found in time to BAM firing and time to PIF.

Mean values for initial acceleration were consistent across all GOLD stages without any significant difference between first and second inhalation. Mean values for this parameter were in the range of 140.3 - 158.7 L/min/s for the first inhalation and of 124.8 - 143.5 L/min/s for the second inhalation.

The mean total inhaled volume was higher and the median total inhalation time was longer in patients in GOLD stage I than in patients in the other subgroups at both the first and the second inhalation.

Results of acoustic monitoring variables (PP population)								
	GOLD stage I N=19	GOLD stage II N=20	GOLD stage III N=20	GOLD stage IV N=10	Total N=69			
Flow at BAM firing	g, L/min	· ·						
First inhalation								
Mean + SD	42.90 ± 6.38	40.27 ± 4.20	41.05 ± 7.04	44.76 ± 7.52	41.87 ± 6.29			
Median (range)	42.00(28.8-52.1)	40.45(33.8-50.9)	42.15(18.1-51.4)	46.50 (29.2-56.2)	41.50 (18.1-56.2)			
Second inhalation		(00000000)						
Mean + SD	4359 + 738	40.79 ± 5.56	4339 + 524	41.03 ± 6.92	42.38 ± 6.20			
Median (range)	42.95(32.5-57.1)	3950(266-474)	43.35(34.1-56.5)	40.50(30.1-48.6)	42.95(26.6-57.1)			
PIF I/min	12.95 (52.5 57.1)	39.30 (20.0 17.1)	15.55 (51.1 50.5)	10.50 (50.1 10.0)	12.99 (20.0 97.1)			
First inhalation								
$M_{opp} + SD$	74.08 ± 20.70	60.13 ± 17.67	63.47 ± 14.06	63.51 ± 20.15	68.04 ± 18.38			
Median (range)	74.06 ± 20.79 72 40 (47 7 125 4)	09.13 ± 17.07 66.60 (45.3, 103.4)	61.20(40.5.07.5)	63.00(31.5,104.5)	66.04 ± 10.36			
Second inhalation	72.40 (47.7-123.4)	00.00 (45.5-105.4)	01.20 (40.3-97.3)	05.00 (51.5-104.5)	00.30 (31.3-123.4)			
Moon + SD	71 97 ± 22 12	70.51 ± 12.01	66 12 + 17 01	55 20 ± 14 21	67.57 ± 17.02			
Mean \pm SD Median (range)	$/1.8/\pm 22.12$	70.51 ± 12.91	$00.43 \pm 1/.84$	55.28 ± 14.51 54.20 (22.0.82.2)	$0/.5/\pm 1/.92$			
Time to DANG Col	00.20 (43.1-133.9)	07.00 (43.4-90.7)	03.20 (41.3-101.7)	34.20 (32.0-82.3)	04.00 (32.0-133.9)			
Time to BAM firing	g, <i>s</i>							
First innalation	0.17 + 0.14	0.17 + 0.10	0.10 + 0.10	0.00 + 0.14	0.10 + 0.12			
Mean \pm SD	$0.1/\pm 0.14$	0.17 ± 0.12	0.18 ± 0.12	0.20 ± 0.14	0.18 ± 0.13			
Median (range)	0.10 (0.06-0.49)	0.14 (0.05-0.49)	0.16 (0.02-0.63)	0.14 (0.07-0.49)	0.13 (0.02-0.63)			
Second inhalation					0.40.0.40			
Mean \pm SD	0.20 ± 0.12	0.15 ± 0.07	0.22 ± 0.16	0.17 ± 0.08	0.19 ± 0.12			
Median (range)	0.21 (0.06-0.53)	0.13 (0.05-0.31)	0.16 (0.07-0.72)	0.15 (0.05-0.31)	0.16 (0.05-0.72)			
Time to PIF, s								
First inhalation								
Mean \pm SD	0.72 ± 0.34	0.64 ± 0.26	0.63 ± 0.30	0.57 ± 0.17	0.65 ± 0.28			
Median (range)	0.58 (0.38-1.56)	0.54 (0.36-1.17)	0.54 (0.11-1.46)	0.53 (0.42-0.99)	0.54 (0.11-1.56)			
Second inhalation								
Mean \pm SD	0.71 ± 0.35	0.63 ± 0.21	0.68 ± 0.31	0.53 ± 0.24	0.65 ± 0.29			
Median (range)	0.56 (0.38-1.70)	0.60 (0.36-1.31)	0.63 (0.11-1.37)	0.45 (0.19-1.05)	0.59 (0.11-1.70)			
Initial acceleration	, L/min/s							
First inhalation								
Mean ± SD	155.6 ± 65.5	140.3 ± 55.1	140.4 ± 37.4	158.7 ± 42.4	147.2 ± 51.8			
Median (range)	158.4 (38.7-296.5)	160.7 (42.1-238.7)	134.5 (89.0-219.1)	163.1 (91.9-214.3)	153.9 (38.7-296.5)			
Second inhalation								
Mean \pm SD	143.5 ± 64.6	133.8 ± 51.7	136.9 ± 53.6	124.8 ± 22.6	136.2 ± 52.6			
Median (range)	143.3 (42.3-297.6)	138.4 (17.7-202.6)	148.4 (15.4-222.8)	125.5 (93.3-170.4)	139.8 (15.4-297.6)			
Total inhaled volur	ne, L							
First inhalation	•							
Mean ± SD	2.28 ± 0.67	1.70 ± 0.60	1.75 ± 0.73	1.51 ± 0.62	1.85 ± 0.70			
Median (range)	2.27 (1.27-4.05)	1.68 (0.51-2.66)	1.82 (0.38-3.65)	1.57 (0.31-2.26)	1.79 (0.31-4.05)			
Second inhalation		. ,						
Mean \pm SD	2.15 ± 0.76	1.73 ± 0.54	1.73 ± 0.56	1.23 ± 0.62	1.78 ± 0.67			
Median (range)	2.05 (1.18-4.36)	1.87 (0.68-2.42)	1.88 (0.65-2.83)	1.45 (0.17-1.97)	1.84 (0.17-4.36)			
Total inhalation tin	ne. s	(0.00 2.12)	(0.00 2.00)	(0.1, 1.77)				
First inhalation								
Mean + SD	2.90 ± 0.68	2.29 ± 0.60	239 ± 0.79	212 ± 0.80	247 ± 0.75			
Median (range)	2.50 ± 0.00 2 73 (1 86-4 24)	2.29 ± 0.00 2 38 (0 96-3 34)	2.37 ± 0.17 2 33 (0 98-4 03)	2.12 ± 0.00	2.77 ± 0.75 2 51 (0 77-4 24)			
Second inhalation	2.75 (1.00-4.24)	2.50 (0.70-5.54)	2.33 (0.70-4.03)	2.21 (0.77-3.20)	2.31 (0.77-4.24)			
Moon ± SD	2.77 ± 0.62	2.20 ± 0.42	2.27 ± 0.49	1 01 ± 0 00	2.20 ± 0.72			
Median (range)	2.77 ± 0.02 2.68 (1.97 4.20)	2.20 ± 0.03 2.23 (1.19.2.50)	2.37 ± 0.08 2 A0 (1 A5 2 79)	1.71 ± 0.88 2.11 (0.46.2.00)	2.37 ± 0.72			
(range)	2.00 (1.87-4.30)	2.33 (1.18-3.30)	2.40 (1.43-3.78)	2.11 (0.40-3.09)	2.44 (0.40-4.30)			

Analysis of correlation

Significant correlations at both the first and second inhalation were detected between the following variables (see Table below):



Variables	First inh	alation	Second inhalation	
	Spearman correlation coefficient	p-value	Spearman correlation coefficient	p-value
Flow at BAM firing – PIF from acoustic monitoring	0.43	< 0.001	0.36	0.003
Flow at BAM firing – Total inhaled volume	0.34	0.003	0.30	0.012
Time to BAM firing – Time to PIF	0.61	< 0.001	0.58	< 0.001
Time to BAM firing – Initial acceleration	-0.32	0.006	-0.33	0.006
PIF from acoustic monitoring – Total inhaled volume	0.64	< 0.001	0.61	< 0.001
Time to PIF – Total inhalation time	0.24	0.043	0.42	< 0.001
Total inhaled volume – Total inhalation time	0.79	< 0.001	0.83	< 0.001

The strongest levels of correlation were observed between total inhaled volume and total inhalation time, PIF from acoustic monitoring and total inhaled volume and time to BAM firing and time to PIF.

Device usability

There were generally no concerns reported in regard to the functionality and usability of the study device. Only for one patient overall (1.4%) in the GOLD stage II subgroup it was reported by the physician that the mouthpiece size did not well fit to user.

Safety Results

No AEs were reported in this study.

Conclusions:

- All patients in all GOLD stage subgroups had a PIF above the BAM activating value, indicating that all subjects regardless of their functional limitation were able to effectively use the device.
- The profiles of mean inspiratory flow rate over time up to BAM firing were consistent across all GOLD stage groups. Since in vitro testing has shown that once the BAM is activated, all the powder is effectively released, our results confirm that such critical activation event is triggered independently of patient functional limitation.
- No substantial differences between the inspiratory profiles measured in the first and in the second inhalation manoeuvres were found in all subgroups of patients based on GOLD stage, except for patients in GOLD stage IV, in which the mean flow rate after BAM firing was generally higher in the first inhalation. Since this difference is observed only after BAM activation, its relevance is to be considered rather negligible in regards to the effective delivery of the drug, further highlighting that all patients are capable of effectively activating the device regardless of their functional limitation.
- All patients, irrespective of functional status, reached consistent values of acceleration, an additional critical factor in determining effective powder de-aggregation further confirming the device flow-independent characteristics
- The overall acceptability of the device was very high further supporting the ease of use of NEXThaler[®] in the target population.
- The inhalation of placebo via the NEXThaler[®] DPI did not lead to any AEs.

Date of the report: 15 December 2014